

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

**URGENT REQUEST FOR COMMUNICATION TO SDG SUMMIT 2023 REGARDING
INVALIDITY OF UN POLITICAL DECLARATION WHICH VIOLATES JUS COGENS**

To:

**United Nations Human Rights OHCHR
Secretary General António Guterres**

September 19, 2023

Dear Friends,

We are vulnerable marginalized primary stakeholders that require assistance regarding the following serious breaches of international obligations owed erga omnes.

This special proceedings communication involves:

- Past human rights violations - which is the object of a letter of allegation of serious undue experimentation both psychological/scientific and medical that the WHO ethics refuses to attend;
- On-going or potential human rights violation - which is the object of this urgent appeal;
- Concerns relating to bills, legislation, policies or practices that do not comply with international human rights law and standards.

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

1. Is there any kind of URGENT mechanism to complain or notify the SDG Summit (prior to the adoption and signing tomorrow, September 20, 2023) that the the UN Declaration is absolutely null for violating jus cogens?
2. Our organization requires extra assistance to apply for an emergency injunction, or some way to interpose between the UN and States who are adopting the UN Political Declaration September 20, 2023, contrary to scientific, ethical and legal norms and obligations.
3. There are a number of policies and measures being adopted in the UN Political Declaration which we repeatedly contend are absolutely null and manifestly illegal.
4. We are concerned the SDG Stimulus is unscientific, disproportionate, unnecessary and overly ambitious to the point of fiscally reckless.
5. We keep asking for a hearing to provide actual due process for all stakeholders such as ourselves (IOJ is recognized by INB as relevant and interested stakeholders) to participate, but the WHO & UN won't ever respond for 1 1/2 years, which omits our ability to provide UN the relevant information and criticisms we wish to share. We are taking a course in science diplomacy and require some way to dispute scientific presumptions underlying the SDG Stimulus and UN Political Declaration. Who do we ask for a hearing to debate facts, law and science and how/where do we ask?
6. We have multiple unanswered notice of criminal, legal and ethical claims filed in WHO ethics department against the WHO and WHO DG Tedros, et al. We need to speak to Guterres or the board about the UN obligation to fire WHO DG Tedros and waive his immunity as a right and duty. Whom is in charge of WHO ethics unanswered complaints for serious breaches of international obligations to human rights owed erga omnes? We require an appointment with the WHO DG's superior to review, attend and resolve our many unanswered complaints and notices of claim regarding WHO DG's breaches of duty

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

and therefore UN serious breaches of international obligations. These issues are unresolved whilst UN barrels forward in error to adopt more health policy under Tedros direction and control that is legally and ethically invalid in the Political Declaration.

7. The UN Political Declaration is written in a way that is biased towards scientific presumptions of a climate emergency and essentially states that there are civil society and legislative obligations to believe and act upon UN's unproven presumption of a climate emergency and support Agenda 2030. This is persecutory to CSO's such as our own Stop Agenda 2030 institute and ensures our voicelessness and lack of participation onto the future.

8. If The UN political declaration is adopted September 20, 2023 without debate of the substantive issues we raised in many writings, our organization will be irreparably injured by never having had due process on many pertinent topics, despite many attempts over a year and a half to warn the UN and member States of the invalidity of many provisions on the table tomorrow. See oral presentation to HHS OGA of unanswered WHO ethics complaints for serious undue experimentation with case numbers: <https://rumble.com/v2lwy0c-ioj-speaking-truth-to-power-exposing-w.h.o.-crimes-may-3-2023-hhs-stakehold.html> also see lengthy attempts to participate which were insufficient to impart data and knowledge we wish to share: <https://rumble.com/c/c-1567585>. Furthermore, Member States and the international community as a whole will be injured for adopting wholly unsustainable and unnecessary agreements that affect commerce and will restructure society in violation of jus cogens peremptory norms that uphold non derogable rights, and these human rights violations will be adopted as new practices, bills or legislation without a risk insurance, risk analysis, compelling public interest test, harmonization of definitions and without consent of the governed.

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

Please use the communications and special procedures to:

- Draw the attention of Governments and others on the alleged human rights violations on the illegality of the UN programs for information management and experimental covid-19 vaccine biological agents unproven novel vaccine interventions outside clinical trials;
- Ask all decision makers at the SDG Summit to ensure that the violations of lack of participation and serious undue experimentation UN programs & similar policies in the UN Political Declaration are prevented, stopped, investigated, or that remedial action is taken;

Notice: The demand below is signed by over 1000 people internationally whom reject the UN Political Declaration and SDG stimulus because we have been denied due process and our right to meaningful participate.

<https://noticeanddemand.org/petition/unpoliticaldeclaration/#content12261>

Addressed to:

All Global Decision Makers & Delegates

UN Secretary General

Regarding the UN Political Declaration and FINAL TEXT FOR SILENCE PROCEDURE to be adopted September 20, 2023

Be it known:

We, the vulnerable marginalized primary stakeholders affirm our commitment to the unequivocal rules of science as a main part of the global health security apparatus.

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

The people have the right to a hearing for UN to show us the science. The right to a hearing becomes critical when the governments are acting to limit human rights, as is currently underway through UN instruments and the new legal frameworks being created such as the UN Political Declaration.

During the 2020 -2023 WHO PHEIC and covid-19 declared pandemic emergency, human rights experts working within the WHO found that human rights were limited unnecessarily and unethically. Understanding these limitations to human rights were not strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, according to science. Instead, the limitations to rights arose due to countries being bound by IHR 43 to legally but unethically follow WHO contentious and debatable “technical” and "information management" recommendations. Many experts were censored and persecuted for expressing their opinion that WHO is engaging in scientific fraud at worst or at best relying on clear misinterpretations of law and UN over-alarmism.

We affirm it is time the public officials show us the science or the courts should issue precautionary measures against all UN Programs regarding pandemic preparedness and response or "UN information management".

We reaffirm our commitment to demand the UN prove science for all 17 SDG's prior to signing any political declaration which seeks to effectively implement the 2030 Agenda and its SDGs and uphold all principles enshrined in it.

The 2030 Agenda remains as an unproven societal intervention and overly ambitious experiment based on the UN overarching roadmap for achieving sustainable development and overcoming the multiple crises that UN claims we face, but has still yet to prove through conclusive science.

The UN is stepping up our efforts to fight against racism, all forms of discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance, stigmatization, **hate speech** at all levels, through cooperation, partnership and inclusion and respect for diversity, which so far has been disastrous for human rights as UN

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

is directing and controlling a global persecution and censorship regime that discriminates and stigmatizes any scientific disagreement with the WHO, and will likely consider dissent as "dangerous and punishable hate speech". The UN only includes those who agree with their agendas. Honeyed words cannot hide the UN is directing and controlling persecution of those who protest their agendas

Global governance for the common future. The idea of a "world Commission" for a centralized global governance on environmental sustainability issues must be questioned by peer reviewed studies of the best scientists outside of "The World Commission", to avoid conflicts of interest. The recognition that the many crises facing the planet are **interlocking crises** that are elements of a single crisis of the whole and of the vital need for the active participation of all sectors of society in consultation and decisions relating to sustainable development is ill-equipped and merely a concept not proven by real science.

Universal Health coverage is the foreordained lead into a ill-fated socialist agenda using international law to ones own benefit to gain at the whim of funders for a one health agenda and is being opposed by millions of people, accredited health professionals and scientists worldwide. having a centralized information database connected to a pre programmed artificial intelligence system is a very dubitable goal and should be cautioned.

Peace and security cannot be realized through SDG's; and it is a false presumption by UN that peace and security will be at risk without sustainable development. We reaffirm the need to build peaceful, just and inclusive societies that provide equal access to justice and that are based on respect for human rights including the right to development, on effective rule of law and good governance at all levels and on transparent, effective and accountable institutions without the UN Agenda 2030 and SDG unnecessary and unsustainable financial commitments.

The 2030 Agenda remains a private unproven intervention in which UN is exploiting the children and youth of today so that they may act as propagandists and alarmists, pushing UN financial

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

proposals in an absence of science, as critical agents of change and torchbearers of the 2030 Agenda for current and future generations.

We acknowledge the essential role of national parliaments in ensuring accountability for the due process by facilitating scientific hearings for the long list of disputed UN science presumptions prior to the effective implementation of the UN SDG goals and commitments under the 2030 Agenda.

Affirming the need for hearings with the dissenting scientific community to ensure a non biased evidenced-based approach to evaluate the necessity, proportionality, feasibility, legality, risks and legitimacy of the highly contentious UN SDGs.

Recognizing the Addis Ababa Action Agenda <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/financing-for-development/> budget is overly ambitious, unsustainable and based in a myriad of unproven presumptions regarding vaccines and climate change, among other unproven UN presumptions.

We are concerned about the persistent and long-term impacts from the spurious and wholly unscientific COVID-19 pandemic declaration of emergency not in good faith, which is not substantiated by science, in which the WHO used a faulty PCR diagnostic which creates overwhelming false positives to usher in a state of fear and limitations of rights that caused years of continued poverty and widening inequalities, and the multiple interlinked crises that are pushing our world to the brink, particularly in developing countries and for the poorest and most marginalized.

We reject Article 43 of the IHR which mandates that when science is limited, as in any novel or emergency situation, States "shall base their recommendations upon" WHO guidance and private organizations information, much of which is based on deception, disinformation, scientific cherry-picking and modeling manipulation, coercion and threat of global catastrophe if the UN is

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

not abundantly funded. The reliance on the void IHR provision which forces States to use limited and biased information to make emergency recommendations upon is an international security threat and the IHR, as well as all amendments are hereby rejected for having caused damages during covid-19 declared emergency and beyond.

Climate change is not proven to be the source of biodiversity loss, desertification or harm, instead, it is pollution, including plastic, air, and chemical pollution, that threaten planet and people rather than an unproven climate issue.

We acknowledge that the unscientifically COVID-19 declared pandemic produced valuable lessons about how UN and Health Ministries are lobbied by Big Pharma and other WEF capitalist stakeholders who profit from emergency declarations, to work together to fast-track health, science, technology, and innovation and digital transformation for sustainable development, in a way which violates WHO MEURI ethical framework by being contrary to ethical obligations.

We remain diligent to the threats of gain of function or dual use research being embedded into the UN Political declaration and the FINAL TEXT FOR SILENCE PROCEDURE.

We firmly denounce and reject the reckless FINAL TEXT FOR SILENCE PROCEDURE that aims to "Promote the fair, equitable and timely sharing of benefits arising from the use of pathogens, sequences or any other materials, with pandemic potential through a multilateral system taking into account relevant national and international laws, regulations, obligations and frameworks, in line with the work being undertaken in other relevant areas and by other United Nations and multilateral organizations or agencies, particularly the ongoing discussions of the International Negotiating Body and Working Group on Amendments to the International Health Regulations (2005) in Geneva"

We firmly reject the UN overreaching their authority in the FINAL TEXT FOR SILENCE PROCEDURE ('PP24. _Express concern that health-related misinformation and disinformation

CIVICS AND LAW
MONITORING COMMITTEE

negatively impacted routine immunization services globally, particularly affecting children under the age of 5, and in this regard emphasize that routine immunization is one the most efficient and cost-effective public health interventions with the greatest reach and demonstrated health outcomes, that play a crucial role in preventing pandemics and other health emergencies;’) This clause is rooted in baseless presumptions which require a hearing to dispute; it also allows for UN to exercise authority not granted, to regulate the right of free opinion. This expansion of authority to UN to limit non derogable rights is unsustainable because it allows for the monopolization of health information, forcing States to base determinations on biased or false information, to the benefit of the UN's procurement suppliers; the dissenting scientific community, victims of the interventions, whistleblowers of government cover-ups and research misconduct can be censored to create a false health narrative and this must be avoided to preserve fundamental basic freedoms which are UN's duty to protect, not to violate by using labels and terms of art.

Thank you for your prompt assistance in this serious matter and appreciate all of your help working with us to in order to protect the public health and safety.

Cordially,
Interest Of Justice,



Dustin Bryce,
+1 323 244 2960
contact@interestofjustice.org
www.interestofjustice.org